

Professional Regulation Commission

COMPARATIVE SUMMARY OF NOMINEES
OUTSTANDING PROFESSIONAL OF THE YEAR



NAME OF APO:

PROFESSION:

NAME OF NOMINEES

CRITERIA	General Rating	Nominee 1		Nominee 2		Nominee 3	
		Qualifications	Rating	Qualifications	Rating	Qualifications	Rating
1. Amply demonstrated professional competence of the highest degree and conducted himself with integrity in the exercise of his profession (30%)							
a. Integrity	10%						
b. Technical Competence	10%						
c. Academic background	4%						
d. Training / CPE	3%						
e. Experience	3%						
Total	30%						
2. Participated meaningfully in professional activities through the professional organization (30%)							
a. Leadership	10%						
b. Projects / Accomplishment	10%						
c. Conferences, seminars attended							
i. International	6%						
ii. Local	4%						
Total	30%						
3. Contributed significantly to the advancement of the profession (30%)							
a. Publication	5%						
b. Research projects	5%						
c. Positive impact of contribution to the profession	10%						

NAME OF APO:

PROFESSION:

NAME OF NOMINEES

	CRITERIA	General Rating	Qualifications	Rating	Qualifications	Rating	Qualifications	Rating
	d. Awards and Recognition:							
	i. International	6%						
	ii. Local	4%						
	Total	30%						
4.	Contributed significantly to the effective discharge of the profession's social responsibility through meaningful contribution / participation of socio-civic related activities (10%)							
	a. Involvement in Civic Organization	5%						
	b. Community and Public Citations	5%						
	Total	10%						
	GENERAL AVERAGE RATING	100%						

APO NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Chairman

Member

Member